

# Characterization, Physicochemical and Biological Treatment of Sweet Whey (Major Pollutant in Dairy Effluent)

Moomen Baroudi\*, Rana Kabbout, Hiba Bakkour, Fouad Dabboussi, Samir Taha and Jalal Halwani

Lebanese University - Faculty of Public Health - Section III  
Laboratory of Water and Environmental Sciences (L.S.E.E.), Lebanon  
✉ mmabroudi@hotmail.com

Received October 10, 2011; revised and accepted July 16, 2012

**Abstract:** The sweet whey resulting from cheese production is the most polluting among all types of dairy waste waters given that it contains a huge quantity of organic biodegradable matters. In this study, we realize a physicochemical treatment of sweet whey, by coagulation-flocculation using aluminum sulphate as a coagulant and sodium alginate as flocculent and a biological treatment in suspension, using *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Bacillus* spp.

The physicochemical treatment by coagulation-flocculation has reduced 33% of the chemical oxygen demand, 45% of the turbidity, 72% of suspended matter and 20% of total phosphorus. The biological treatment has reduced considerably all the studied parameters; the organic charge determined by the chemical oxygen demand (COD) has received a very significant reduction upto 90% using *Pseudomonas fluorescens* during 20 days. On the other side, the biological treatment realized with *Bacillus* spp. was less effective, reduced the COD with 54% under the same conditions of the treatment by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and for the same period. But these two bacteria are equally effective in reducing the total nitrogen TN (92% reduction/20 days).

This work presents a biotechnology for the treatment of sweet whey. It's an economic method, simple and environment friendly.

**Key words:** Sweet whey, physicochemical treatment, biological treatment, chemical oxygen demand, *Bacillus* spp., *Pseudomonas fluorescens*.

## Introduction

People in the Middle East in general, and Lebanon in particular, are major consumers of small ruminants, which account for 25% of milk production in the country. The annual production of cheese in Lebanon is 2109 litres/year (Brossard et al., 1997).

The importance of the problem of liquid waste management continues to grow in Lebanon especially in the dairy industries that generate a huge organic pollution which can cause physicochemical and biological

degradation of the aquatic ecosystem by the presence of undesirable substances (nitrogen, phosphorus, carbon materials, microorganisms) (FAO, 1998).

These dairy industries generate different types of waste including: wastewater from the production line (cleaning of equipment and pipes), cooling water, domestic wastewater, the acid whey and sweet. The sweet whey form the most polluting effluent by its biochemical composition rich in organic matter (lactose, protein, phosphorus, nitrates, nitrogen (Table 1) and is from 60 to 80 times more polluting than domestic sewage (Hamdani et al., 2001).

\*Corresponding Author

The main environmental problems related to milk production affect the pollution of water, air and biodiversity. They often cause a growth of algae and bacteria that consume oxygen in the water and eventually suffocate the rivers leading to the gradual disappearance of fish. Hence the need to treat dairy effluents by various processes: physicochemical (coagulation, flocculation and sedimentation) and biological (by adding micro-organisms in suspension) (Hamdani et al., 2001). In Lebanon and in most cases, these effluents are not treated and are discharged into the aquatic environment.

This project therefore aims to use two types of treatment (physicochemical and biological), to track and monitor the effectiveness of reducing various parameters of pollution by various tests to optimize a new treatment method, that allows us to reject the effluent in the environment according to the acceptable standards of discharge (Table 2).

Flocculation and coagulation are used to remove grease, oil, phosphorus, suspended solids (TSS), heavy metals, etc. This method allows the reduction of the chemical and biological oxygen demand (COD, BOD) and the reduction in bacterial populations. Aluminum sulphate  $Al_2(SO_4)_3$  was the coagulant used because it reduces the hardness and the load of phosphate in the wastewater (Lafleur et al., 2008). It is better than the ferric chloride  $FeCl_3$  in the reduction of COD, TN and TP, because of no need to adjust the pH (Hamdani et al., 2004). It can easily coagulate at pH close to neutral which

is the pH of sweet whey. We also used sodium alginate because it is an effective flocculent without modification (Rajani et al., 2001). It provides good results with  $Al_2(SO_4)_3$  (Lafleur et al., 2008). The amount of coagulant and flocculent to use and the effectiveness of this process are dependent on pH, alkalinity and phosphate concentration (EPA, 2000).

We chose the biological treatment in suspension as it has several advantages: availability and cheap construction, economic (inexpensive, less maintenance cost, low energy demand), easy handling, and applicable in laboratory. That's why our study concerns the biodegradation of sweet whey. The main microorganisms used in the literature to treat the effluent are: lactic acid bacteria (*Lactobacillus* and *Pediococcus*), yeast (*Saccharomyces*) and phototrophic bacteria (Rashid and West, 2007), *Aspergillus niger* and *Mucor hie-melis* *Galactomyces geotrichum* (Djelal and Perrot, 2007). In this study *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Bacillus* spp. were chosen to treat the whey because they are encountered in the laboratories of bacteriology, widespread in the environment, lives primarily in water and moist soils and they are not pathogenic. Both bacteria live without difficulty at ambient temperature of 23°C to 35°C and pH near neutral (pH of the whey), they are easy to grow under aerobic conditions at room temperature, to oxidize glucose and to degrade nitrate, starch and casein (Brossard et al., 1997).

**Table 1: Characteristics of sweet whey**

Type of effluent	pH	Volume per litre of milk	Chemical oxygen demand COD (g/L)	Chemical oxygen demand/biological oxygen demand COD/BOD <sub>5</sub>	Total nitrogen Total N (g/L)	Total suspended solid TSS (g/L)	Total phosphorus Total P (g/L)	Fat (g/L)
Cheese whey pressed	6	0.9	80 to 90	1.5	0.6 to 1	8 to 11	-	0.5

Source: Sottiez, 1990.

**Table 2: Discharge standards acceptable to reject dairy effluents**

Parameters	Discharge standards	Conditions
COD (mg/L)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 200</li> <li>• 100</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If the maximum permitted daily flow does not exceed 100 kg/d</li> <li>• Beyond 100 kg/d</li> </ul>
TSS (mg/L)	50	-
Total N (mg/L)	30 in monthly average concentration	When the maximum daily flow is equal to or greater than 50 kg/day
Total P (mg/L)	10 in monthly average concentration	When the maximum permitted daily flow is equal to or greater than 15 kg/day

Source: Sow, 2001.

## Materials and Methods

### Sampling

Sweet whey samples were collected from the dairy industry “Moawad Foundation” located in North Lebanon after the draining step of the cheese. Part of the samples was conserved in the refrigerator, the other one was congealed.

### Whey Characterization

The physicochemical analysis of sweet whey was realized according to the methods described in Afnor and Quebec standards including the following parameters: temperature, pH, conductivity, hardness, total suspended solid (TSS), chemical oxygen demand (COD), total nitrogen (N-NTK), nitrate, nitrite and total phosphorus (P-PT).

### Physicochemical Treatment of Sweet Whey (Coagulation, Flocculation)

Different coagulation flocculation trials were realized to the sweet whey on ambient temperature ( $24 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ ) using a Jartest according to the following principle: in a series of beakers containing sweet whey, coagulating agents ( $600\text{ mg/L Al}_2\text{SO}_4$ ) (Hazourli et al., 2009) are introduced in the sweet whey and stirred for a short period at low speed (200 rpm for 10 min) ensuring a good spread of coagulating agents and a chemical destabilization of colloids. Then the flocculent was added ( $2.5\text{ mg/L}$  dialginate disodium), the whey was stirred gently (40 rpm for 20 min) to facilitate the contact of the particles and avoid breaking the flocks formed. Finally, these flocks were settled in a period of 30 min.

The effectiveness of this treatment was evaluated analytically by monitoring the turbidity (measured in FTU: formazin turbidity unit), TSS, COD, Total N, Total P and nitrate.

### Biological Treatment of Sweet Whey

This treatment is realized by inoculating a sample of whey (500 ml) with *Bacillus* spp., another sample with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and a third sample with a mixture of these two bacteria. The mixture is carried out in order to study the effectiveness of these bacteria used together in the same medium to treat whey and to degrade organic matter. These samples were placed in the shaker for 20 days at 100 rpm and at room temperature. Another sample of sweet whey (500 ml), not inoculated with bacteria, is also placed with the other samples in the shaker under all conditions as background values. This sample was studied in order to determine the ability of

autochthonous bacteria to degrade organic matter. All the samples were oxygenated once per week.

In order to follow the growth and chart the growth curve of *Bacillus* spp. and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* two conical flasks were used containing each one a liquid nutrient medium (Muller Hinton), one is seeded with *Bacillus* spp., the other one with *Pseudomonas fluorescens*.

The effectiveness of the biological treatment and its monitoring are studied by measuring the following parameters: Absorbance, COD, TSS, Total nitrogen, Total phosphorus and nitrate.

## Results and Discussions

### Characterization of Sweet Whey

In order to investigate the ability of the physicochemical and biological process to treat the sweet whey, several characteristics of this whey have been studied. The pH of the sweet whey is 5.99, TSS  $2850\text{ mg/L}$ , COD  $60000\text{ mg/L}$ , Total nitrogen  $440\text{ mg/L}$ , Total phosphorus  $1490\text{ mg/L}$  and nitrates  $320\text{ mg/L}$ . These results show that sweet whey is characterized by an extremely high organic load, nitrogen, phosphorus and suspended solid.

### Physicochemical Treatment

The physicochemical treatment by aluminum sulphate and sodium alginate shows a significant reduction of 45% of turbidity, 72% of TSS, 47.5% of nitrates and a moderate reduction of COD (23.4%), total phosphorus (20%) and total nitrogen (16.4%).

### Biological Treatment

These two growth curves (Figures 1 and 2) show that *Bacillus* spp. and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* in the whey grow over time and remain alive after 20 days, compared to those of nutrient broth that died after this time. This also indicates that the sweet whey can be considered a favourable environment for the growth of these two bacteria.

COD was studied during the biological treatment (Figure 3) to monitor the degradation of organic matter and the effectiveness of this treatment.

This chart shows that the COD is reduced especially in the treatment with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* upto 90%, and the treatment with the mixture reduced 88% of COD for 20 days. But under the same conditions, *Bacillus* spp. has reduced the COD with just 54% only. This indicates that *Pseudomonas fluorescens* was most effective in the treatment of sweet whey under these conditions.




Figure 1: Growth curve of *Bacillus* spp. in the sweet whey and Muller Hinton.




Figure 2: Growth curve of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* in the sweet whey and Muller Hinton.




Figure 3: Variation of COD during the biological treatment by *Bacillus* spp., *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and their mixture.

After the biological treatment, *Bacillus* spp. and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* reduced 92% of the total nitrogen and around 75% of nitrates.

### Conclusion

Wastewater from dairies and cheese industries contain mainly organic and biodegradable materials that can disrupt aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems. Hence the importance of carrying out a whey treatment as a starting point in order to optimize a simple and economic method to treat the whole dairy effluent.

The physicochemical treatment realized in this study was effective reducing 45% of the turbidity, 72% of TSS, 23.4% of COD and 20% of total phosphorus, while the biological treatment reduced 90% of the COD, 92% of the total nitrogen especially when the whey is treated with *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. But we cannot ignore the fact that *Bacillus* reduced 54% of the COD.

However, more studies are needed to apply this method by the dairy industry. The use of a polyelectrolyte as a flocculent has a high efficiency to improve the physicochemical treatment. On the other hand, biological treatment can be improved by optimizing the conditions for bacterial growth (temperature, oxygen and pH) or by fungi that show an important role in the literature regarding the reduction of COD.

### References

- Brossard, H., Leyral, G. and O. Terry (1997). Activités technologiques en microbiologie 2. *Bacteriologie systematique, Collection Biologie Technique.*, **157**: 119-133.
- Djelal, H. and M. Perrot (2007). Utilisation de champignons spécifiques pour la biodégradation d'effluents industriels. *L'eau, l'industrie, les nuisances*, 306.
- EPA – Environmental Protection Agency (2000). Wastewater Technology Fact Sheet, Chemical Precipitation. EPA 832-F-00-018 (September 2000).
- Food and Agriculture Organization. [www.fao.org](http://www.fao.org) (1998).
- Hamdani, A., Assobhei, O. and M. Mountadar (2001). Caractérisation et essais de dénitrification biologique d'un effluent de laiterie située dans la ville d'El Jadida (Maroc). *Eau Ind. Nuisances*, 242: 50-54.
- Hamdani, A., Chennaoui, M., Assobhei, O. and M. Mountadar (2004). Caractérisation et traitement par coagulation-décantation d'un effluent de laiterie. *Lait*, **84**: 317-328.
- Hazourli, S., Ziat, M., Boudiba, L. and D. Fedaoui (2009). Pollution characterization of wastewater of an industrial zone example of a dairy water clarification. *Lebanese Science Journal*, **10(2)**: 17-31.
- Lafleur, C., Fortier, J., Kharoune, L. and M. Kharoune (2008). Évaluation d'un procédé de coagulation floculation au chitosane pour l'enlèvement du phosphore dans les effluents piscicoles. *Université du Québec, Ecole de technologie supérieure*.
- Rajani, S., Vankar Padma, S. and A. Mishra (2001). Treatment of textile effluent using sodium alginate as flocculant. *Colourage* ISSN 0010-1826, **48(10)**: 29-32.
- Rashid, M.T. and J. West (2007). Dairy wastewater treatment with effective microorganisms and duckweed for pollutants and pathogen control. *Decision-Making and Environmental Security*, 93-102.
- Sottiez, P. (1990). Produits dérivés des fabrications fromagères: lait et produits; vache, brebis, chèvre. *Ed Lavoisier, Paris*, 633.
- Sow, I. (2001). Eaux usées: Normes de rejet sénégalaises.



## Contents

<i>Editorial</i>	i
□ <i>Snapshot</i>	ii
Sustainable Design of Ship Breaking Industry in Developing Countries <i>Iftekhhar Khan, Harun Chowdhury, Firoz Alam and Arun Kumar</i>	1
The Impacts of Land-use/cover Change on Loktak Lake Water Quality in North-Eastern Region, India <i>S.C. Rai and Adon Raleng</i>	13
A Study on Arsenic Uptaking Capacity of Water Hyacinth <i>M.A. Islam, M.S. Rahman, S. Islam, A.N.M. Zakaria and K.M.R. Islam</i>	25
Detention Time as a Critical Parameter in Septic Tank Design <i>Chidozie Charles Nnaji and Jonah Chukwuemeka Agunwamba</i>	31
Genotoxicity of Bisphenol A on Root Meristem Cells of <i>Allium cepa</i> : A Cytogenetic Approach <i>V.V. Jadhav, A.S. Jadhav, C.A. Chandagade and P.D. Raut</i>	39
Treatment of Pharmaceutical Effluent by Photochemical Oxidation on Titanium Dioxide <i>S. Safiullah, G. Mohammad, M.A. Sabur and B.K. Howlader</i>	45
Triclosan Residues in Estuarine Sediments from Valliyar Estuary, Kanyakumari District, Tamil Nadu, India <i>T. Jeyakumar, P. Kodisundaram, A. Rajavel, Rajendra Kumar, M. Anbu, P. Latha and P. Roselet</i>	53
Physicochemical Investigation of Surface Water Quality of Urban Wetlands of Bangalore, India <i>Aboud S. Jumbe and N. Nandini</i>	59
Artificial Neural Network Model to Forecast the Concentration of Pollutants Over Delhi: Skill Assessment of Learning Rules <i>Sutapa Chaudhuri and Rajashree Acharya</i>	71
Increasing Pollution Level in River Yamuna—A Challenge to Sustainable Development of an Urban Centre, Delhi, India <i>Ghuncha Firdaus and Ateeque Ahmad</i>	83
Suitability of Ground Water for Irrigation in the Sheri Nala Basin, Sangli District, Maharashtra, India <i>A.S. Yadav and P.T. Sawant</i>	91
Approaches to Groundwater Vulnerability to Pollution: A Literature Review <i>Jawed Iqbal, A.K. Gorai, Poonam Tirkey and Gopal Pathak</i>	105
□ <i>Short Note</i>	
Assessment of Surface Water Quality of Godavari River at Aurangabad <i>Sachin Eknath Pote, S.K. Singal and D.K. Srivastava</i>	117
<i>Environment News Futures</i>	123